

GENETIC ASPECTS FOR MILK TRAITS IN SAUDI CAMELS

M.H. Khalil, K.A. Al-Sobayil, A.M. Al-Saef¹, K.M. Mohamed¹, and S.A. Salal¹

Department of Animal Production and Breeding, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine,
Al-Qassim University, Buriedah, P.O.Box 1482, Saudi Arabia.

¹Range and Animal Development Research Centre (RADRC), Al Jouf, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

A 269 lactation records for Saudi she-camels were genetically analysed and evaluated for lactation traits of milk yield of the first three months of lactation, annual milk yield, total milk yield, length of lactation period, monthly milk yield, and daily milk yield. Data were analysed using DFREML procedure to estimate direct additive effects (i.e. direct heritabilities), permanent environment and random errors. Breeding values of camels with and without records in this population were predicted for lactation traits using an animal model.

Heritabilities were moderate and ranged from 0.08 to 0.25. Ratios of permanent environment were also moderate and ranged from 0.16 to 0.22. The ranges in breeding values for the animals with and without records were moderate or high, e.g. 166.8 kg, 1312 kg, 1436 kg, 282 day, 121.2 kg, and 3.044 kg for 3-month milk yield, annual milk yield, total milk yield, lactation period, monthly milk yield, and daily milk yield, respectively. Accuracies of breeding values recorded for lactation traits were high and ranged 0.42 to 0.76. The percentage of animals that had positive estimates of breeding values for all traits were nearly similar and ranged 53.3 to 57.30%. The rates of selection responses predicted were moderate or high where these rates were ranged from 3.1 to 9.6 % relative to the actual mean of the trait.

Key words: Animal model, genetic evaluation, heritabilities, lactation, permanent environment, saudi camels

The arab world has more than 12 million camels which is about 70% of the world camel population (Ramet, 2001). But, the share of camels in meat and milk production in arabian countries is still very low (Hermas, 1998). This is because the camel was ignored as an animal genetic resource and no genetic improvement was practiced to increase its productive potentiality. The published works concerning estimation of genetic parameters and evaluation for lactation traits in camels using updated methodologies (e.g. DFREML, GSAMP, PEST, VCE,... etc) are very limited, since most of these estimates were based on small number of records and applying old methodology (Wilson *et al*, 1990; Hermas, 1998 and 2002). Genetic improvement for milk production traits in camels could be achieved through selection and it necessitates identifying the elite she-camels and superior sires through the evaluation of animals to be selected. Evaluation of animals using animal models are nowadays, utilised in many countries all-over the world for various domestic species such as cattle and sheep. Although, surprisingly this method was almost completely ignored in camel evaluation systems.

In Saudi Arabia and during the last two decades, a common trend has been raised for establishing large-scale commercial herds of dairy and meat camels in order to increase the national milk and meat production from camels. Since that time and until now, the native camels' breeds were not genetically evaluated in aspects of productive efficiency. In an attempt to evaluate these camels, the objectives of the present study were: (1) to characterise a Saudi herd of camels for lactation performance in terms of first 3-month milk yield, annual milk yield, total milk yield, length of lactation period, monthly milk yield, and daily milk yield, (2) to estimate the effects of direct additive genetic (i.e. heritabilities), permanent maternal environment, and error for these lactation traits in this herd using an animal model, and (3) to predict the breeding values for animals of this Saudi population (i.e. she-camels with records and their parents of sires and dams without records).

Materials and Methods

Animals:

One-pedigreed Saudi camel population was

SEND REPRINT REQUEST TO M.H. KHALIL Email: maherhkhalil@yahoo.com

genetically analysed and evaluated. Animals used in this study were collected from the camel herd of Range and Animal Development Research Centre, Al-Jouf region which located in the northwestern part of Saudi Arabia. Records were collected for 20 years from 1985 to 2004.

Management and feeding:

All the animals were treated and medicated similarly and they were reared under the same managerial and climatic conditions.

Camels of Al Jouf region in Saudi Arabia are usually sexually active in the colder months of a year (October till March). Natural mating was applied for all she-camels and parturitions occurred from November till April.

Hand milking was performed for lactating she-camels twice a day and the amount of milk yield was calculated individually for each animal and recorded in special records. During the last 3 years, milking machines were used for milking.

All the animals were fed *ad libitum*. Camels under investigation were fed the available roughage and concentrate pellets. Whole palin dates and bran were also offered irregularly. During the spring season, green fodders were available in the range and were allowed for the animals with concentrate supplementation.

Data collected:

All the abnormal records and those of the aborted she-camels and records without pedigree or mating dates were excluded from the present study. A total number of 269 complete lactation for 161 she-camels fathered by 8 sires and mothered by 33 dams were used. Lactation traits of milk yield of the first three months of lactation (3MMY), annual milk yield (AMY), total milk yield (TMY), length of lactation period (LP), monthly milk yield (MMY), and daily milk yield (DMY) were analysed.

Model of genetic analysis:

Data were analysed by applying programme of Boldman *et al* (1995) and using a single-trait animal model as (matrix notation):

$$Y = Xb + Z_a U_a + Z_p U_p + e$$

Where: Y = vector of lactation trait; X = incidence matrix of fixed effects; b = vector of fixed effects including parity (3 parities) and year-season of calving (winter or spring); Z_a and Z_p = incidence matrices respective to random direct additive effects and permanent environmental effects, respectively; U_a

and U_p = vectors of animal random effects and random permanent environmental effects, respectively; e = vector of random errors.

Pedigree information was used as far as it existed. The relationship coefficient matrix (A^{-1}) among animals was considered in such single-trait animal model (Korhonen, 1996). Programme of Boldman *et al* (1995) was adapted to use the sparse matrix package, SPARSPAK (George and Ng, 1984). Convergence was assumed when the variance of the log-likelihood values in the simplex reached $<10^{-12}$. The occurrence of local maxima was checked by repeatedly restarting the analyses until the log-likelihood did not change beyond the first decimal. The animal model was used to estimate the proportions of direct additive genetic effects (representing heritability, h^2_a), permanent environmental effects (p^2), and error (e^2). Direct heritabilities (h^2_a) were computed as:

$$h^2_a = \sigma^2_a / (\sigma^2_a + \sigma^2_p + \sigma^2_e)$$

Where σ^2_a = direct additive genetic variance, σ^2_p = permanent environmental effects variance, and σ^2_e = error variance.

Model of genetic evaluation:

Breeding values (PBV) for milk traits of she-camels were predicted using their own records. Animals without lactation trait of their own records such as dams and sires were also evaluated. Solutions for equations of animals with (161 she-camels) and without records (8 sires and 33 dams) were computed from the pedigree file. A diagonal element (d_i) and an adjusted right-hand side (y_i) were accumulated with each pedigree file record for the i^{th} animal. According to Kennedy (1989), the formula used to predict the breeding values (PBV) was: $PBV = [y_i/d_i]$; where y_i/d_i = breeding values of the animals. The accuracy of breeding value predicted for each animal was estimated according to Henderson (1975) to be as:

$r_A = \sqrt{1 + F_i} = d_i/a_i$ Where r_A = the accuracy of predicted breeding value for the i^{th} animal; F_i = inbreeding coefficient of animals; d_i = the i^{th} diagonal element of inverse in the appropriate block coefficient matrix; and $a_i = \sigma^2_e / \sigma^2_a$. Standard error (SE) of predicted breeding value for each animal was estimated to be as: $SE_{PBV} = d_i \sigma_e$ Where d_i and σ_e were defined before.

Results and Discussion

Means and variations:

Means, standard deviations and ranges in phenotypic variations for lactational performance of Saudi camels are presented in table 1. These figures in

Table 1. Actual means, standard deviations (SD), and ranges for milk traits in Saudi camels.

Lactation trait	Abbreviation	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum	CV% [†]
First three months milk yield, kg	3MMY	234.5	62.2	103	493	26.5
Annual milk yield, kg	AMY	1927	483	498	3630	25.1
Total milk yield, kg	TMY	2373	740	524	5428	31.2
Monthly milk yield, kg	MMY	157.2	40.1	40.3	301.6	25.2
Daily milk yield, kg	DMY	5.239	1.337	1.344	10.050	25.5
Lactation length, day	LP	449	54	390	540	12.2

[†] CV = Coefficient of variation

Saudi camels generally fell within the range of those estimates obtained in most of the Arabian studies (Ismail and Al-Mutairi, 1991; Wardeh *et al*, 1991; Hermas, 1998 and 2002).

Percentages of phenotypic variation for milk traits in Saudi camels were moderate or high; ranging from 12.2 to 31.2 % (Table 1). Literatures suggest that phenotypic variations among breeds in milk traits are of considerable importance (Morton, 1991; Bachmann and Schulthess, 1987; Ismail and Al-Mutairi, 1991; Wardeh *et al*, 1991; Hermas, 1998 and 2002; Aslam *et al*, 2002). However, genetic potentiality of camel breeds for milk production has not been fully exploited because selective pressure of humans on the camels, milk has a minimal trend compared with the other domestic animals (Ramet, 2001).

Genetic analysis for milk traits:

Proportions of direct additive effects (heritabilities, h^2_a), permanent environmental effects (p^2) and error (e^2) associated with their standard errors (SE) for lactation traits in Saudi camels are presented in table 2. However, estimates of random error for milk traits recorded for Saudi camels were high and ranged 0.54 to 0.72. For lactation length, estimate of heritability was low (0.08) which is lower than estimate of 0.31 obtained by Hermas (2002) for Libyan camel. But, direct heritabilities and permanent environmental effects for milk yield traits were moderate (Table 2) and ranged 0.22 to 0.25 and from 0.16 to 0.22, respectively.

Table 2. Proportions of direct additive effects (direct heritabilities, h^2_a), permanent environmental effects (p^2) and error (e^2) for lactation traits in Saudi camels.

Lactation trait [†]	$h^2_a \pm SE$	$p^2 \pm SE$	$e^2 \pm SE$
3MMY	0.24±0.11	0.22±0.14	0.54±0.09
AMY	0.25±0.09	0.17±0.15	0.58±0.11
TMY	0.22±0.09	0.20±0.11	0.58±0.12
MMY	0.22±0.12	0.18±0.08	0.60±0.12
DMY	0.25±0.12	0.16±0.12	0.59±0.12
LP	0.08±0.14	0.20±0.12	0.72±0.13

[†] Traits were defined in Table 1.

These results indicate that lactation traits in camels of the present study were subjected to high variabilities due to the permanent environmental effects. This trend may be due to the fact that Saudi camels were not imposed to intensive selection programs. However, the moderate estimates of heritability obtained here indicate that improvement of milk traits could be possibly achieved through selection.

Genetic evaluation of animals for milk traits:

Since mating between animals in the present study was random. An important advantage of using an animal model instead of a sire model for prediction of breeding values was evidenced recently by Fikse (2004). More evidence of using animal models commonly to predict the breeding values in Europe was reported by Interbull' programme (Interbull, 2005). In the present study, the minimum and maximum estimates of breeding value (PBV) predicted for milk traits using an animal model and their standard errors (SE) and accuracy of each predictor are presented in table 3. The ranges in estimates of breeding values relative to the actual mean of the lactation trait (Table 3) indicate that 3-month milk yield recorded the highest range (71.1%) among all milk traits, i.e. improvement of lactational performance of Saudi she-camels at an early age could be achieved through selection. For the list of all animals, the ranges in breeding value estimated in this population of camels were moderate or high. These ranges were 166.8 kg, 1312 kg, 1436 kg, 282 day, 121.2 kg, and 3.044 kg for 3MMY, AMY, TMY, LP, MMY, and DMY, respectively. In camels, estimates of breeding values are not available in literature for comparison with estimates of the present study. In USA, Mexico and Colombia, Aboubakar *et al* (1987) reported that the ranges in breeding value for 305-day milk yield were 792, 733 and 542 kg, respectively. Rozzi *et al* (1990) found that ranges in breeding value for milk yield were 156, 544 and 151 kg for Holstien cattle raised in Canada, USA and Italy, respectively. Afifi *et al* (1992) with Friesian cattle raised in Egypt

Table 3. Minimum and maximum estimates of predicted breeding values (PBV) and their standard errors (SE) for milk traits along with accuracy of prediction (r_A) in Saudi camels.

Lactation trait ⁺	Minimum			Maximum			Range	RRM ⁺⁺
	PBV	SE	r_A	PBV	SE	r_A		
3MMY, kg	-82.6	12.6	0.76	84.0	14.8	0.74	166.8	71.1
AMY, kg	-452	68.7	0.72	860	72.4	0.68	1312	68.1
TMY, kg	-816	158	0.64	820	142	0.58	1436	60.5
MMY, kg	-58.6	4.8	0.62	62.6	6.2	0.56	121.2	77.1
DMY, kg	-1.426	0.345	0.66	1.618	0.265	0.59	3.044	58.1
LP, day	-156	36.8	0.46	126	26.4	0.42	282	62.8

⁺ Traits were defined in Table 1.

⁺⁺ RRM= Range in PBV relative to the actual mean of milk trait.

Number of camels with and without records evaluated was 202.

reported that the ranges in breeding value in the first, second, and third lactation were 552.9, 435.0, and 491.3 kg for 305-day milk yield and 704.5, 736.3 and 587.5 kg for TMY, and 46.1, 49.3 and 56.3 for lactation period, respectively.

Accuracies (r_A) of minimum and maximum estimates of PBV recorded for lactational performance of the animals were moderate or high (Table 3). These accuracies ranged from 0.42 to 0.76. In recent study, to compare accuracy and precision of variance components and breeding values for international genetic evaluations based on national breeding values or animal performance records, Fikse (2004) stated that correlations between true breeding values were high (>0.90) which may explain the small differences in root mean squares of predicted breeding values of animals.

Animals with positive breeding values

For 3MMY, AMY, TMY, LP, MMY, and DMY, the percentages of animals (she-camels and their dams and sires) that have positive estimates of breeding values were 57.9, 57.3, 54.3, 56.3, 56.4, and 53.3%, respectively. These percentages indicate that the Saudi herd under investigation recorded high percentages of animals with positive signs for milk traits; *i.e.* the top 56% of the animals above the herd average to be selected all had positive breeding values. For sires evaluated recently across countries in a large project in Australia, Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, Finland, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Netherlands, New Zealand, South Africa and USA, Maltecca *et al* (2004) reported that the mean numbers of common sires among the top 25, 100 and 250 sires selected on estimated breeding values were 11, 48 and 154 for single-trait animal model and 16, 66 and 176 for multiple-trait animal model, respectively.

Estimates with positive breeding values for she-camels of the present study suggest that early

selection of she-camels themselves according to their lactational performance during the first three months of lactations (*i.e.* 3MMY) could be an effective method to improve milk traits in camels under the Saudi conditions. In North and South America, Abubakar *et al* (1987) noted that 47% of the sires had positive predicted sire values for 305-day milk yield in both Mexico and Colombia. In the Arabian area, Afifi *et al* (1992) found that the positive percentages of breeding values for 305-day milk yield in the first, second and the third lactation were 50.0, 45.6 and 51.0%, respectively, while they were 43.4, 43.2 and 51.0 for total milk yield and 49.1, 47.3 and 46.9% for lactation period. Afifi *et al* (2002) found that the percentage of the positive breeding values for 305-day milk yield was 53.0%.

Additive selection responses predicted per generation (SR_A):

For the list of all Saudi camels with and without records in the present herd, the direct additive selection responses per generation (SR_A) for lactation traits are presented in table 4. These predicted estimates were nearly similar for different traits of lactation. Also, these rates of selection responses predicted were moderate or high, ranging from 3.1 to 9.6 % relative to the actual mean of the trait.

Conclusions

- This is the first attempt to characterise Saudi camels genetically for lactation traits using updated methodology. An animal model including the fixed effects together with the permanent environmental effects will be recommendable to be applied in genetic evaluation programs for dairy camels. Although animal rankings will differ slightly among methodologies used, it appears that other factors, such as quality of the performance

Table 4. Percentages of animals having positive breeding values and additive selection responses predicted per generation (SR_A) for lactation traits in Saudi camels.

Lactation trait ⁺	Animals with positive breeding values (%)	SR_A (kg)	SR_A (%) ⁺⁺
3MMY	57.9	22.6	9.6
AMY	57.3	165.0	8.6
TMY	54.3	195	8.2
MMY	56.4	12.2	7.8
DMY	53.3	0.386	7.4
LP	56.3	14.1	3.1

⁺ Traits were defined in Table 1.

⁺⁺ SR_A = The rates of selection responses predicted relative to the actual mean of the trait.

data or accuracy of the pedigree information may have a larger impact on the accuracy of genetic predictors than the choice of methodologies.

- The moderate or relatively high estimates of heritability and breeding values obtained in the present study for milk traits could be an encouraging factor for the decision makers to plan a selection policy to improve the lactational performance in Saudi camels at an early stage during the first three months of lactation.

References

- Abubakar BY, McDowell RE, and Van Vleck LD (1987). Interaction of genotype and environment for breeding efficiency and milk production of Holstein in Mexico and Colombia. *Tropical Agriculture* 64(1):17-22.
- Afifi EA, Khalil MH, Abd El-Gilil MF, and Sultan ZA (1992). Estimation of genetic parameters and sire values for milk production of Friesian cattle raised in Egypt. *Egyptian Journal of Animal Production* 29(2):57-74.
- Afifi EA, Khalil MH, Arafa SA, and Salem MA (2002). Estimation of sire transmitting abilities for lactation traits using the animal model in Holstein cattle raised under commercial farm in Egypt. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Mansoura University, Egypt* 27(1):147-155.
- Aslam M, Nawaz M., Ali I, Zia ur Rahman M, Sandhu MA (2002). Determination of productive and reproductive traits in mountain camel. *Proceedings of the 7th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Montpellier, France, August 19-23, 2002. Session 7, No 07-03.*
- Bachmann MR and Schulthess W (1987). Lactation of camels and composition of camel milk in Kenya. *Milchwissenschaft* 42:766-768.
- Boldman KG, Kriese L A, Van Vleck LD, Van Tassell CP and Kachman S D (1995). A manual for use of MTDFREML. A set of programs to obtain estimates of variances and covariances [DRAFT]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, USA.
- Fikse WF (2004). Comparison of performance records and national breeding values as input into international genetic evaluation. *Journal of Dairy Science* 87:2709-2719.
- George A and Ng E (1984). A new release of SPARSPAK: The waterloo sparse matrix package. Mimeo, Department of Computer Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada (Agricultural Research Service, USA, 1995).
- Henderson CR (1975). Best linear unbiased estimation and prediction under a selection model. *Biometrics* 31(2):423-447.
- Hermas SA (1998). Genetic improvement and the future role of the camel in the Arab World: Problems and opportunities. In: *Proceedings of the Third Annual Meeting for Animal Production under Arid Conditions, Al-Ain (United Arab Emirates), Volume 2:56-68 (Article).*
- Hermas S (2002). Estimation of genetic parameters for camel reproductive traits. *Proceedings of the 7th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Montpellier, France, August 19-23, 2002. Session 7, No 07-02.*
- Interbull (2005). National Genetic Evaluation Programmes for Dairy Production traits practice in Interbull member countries 2004-2005. *Interbull Bulletin* 29, International Bull Evaluation Services, Uppsala, Sweden.
- Ismail MD and Al-Mutairi SE (1991). Production parameters of Saudi camels under improved management systems. In: *Proceedings of International Conference on Camel Production and Improvement. Tobruk (Libya), 10-13 December 1991, pp 159-172.*
- Kennedy BW (1989). Animal model BLUP - Crasmus intensive graduate course. University of Guelph, Dublin
- Korhonen T (1996). "The dairy cattle evaluation of 1996". <http://www.mloy.fi/faba/blup/blup1.htmlblup1.html>
- Maltecca C, Bagnato A, and Weigel KA (2004). Comparison of international dairy sire evaluation from Meta-Analysis of national estimated breeding values and direct analysis of individual animal performance records. *Journal of Dairy Science* 87:2599-2605.
- Morton RH (1991). Camels for meat and milk production in Sub-Sahara Africa. In: *Proceedings of International Conference on Camel Production and Improvement. Tobruk (Libya), 10-13 December 1990.*
- Ramet JP (2001). The technology of making cheese from camel milk (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Animal Production and Health Paper No 113, FAO, Rome.*
- Rozzi P, Schaffer LR, Burnside EB and Schlote W (1990). International evaluation of Holstein-Friesian dairy sires from three countries. *Livestock Production Science* 24:15-28.
- Wardeh MF, Zaid AA and Horier HS (1991). Camel breeds in Arab Africa. In: *Proceedings of International Conference on Camel Production and Improvement. Tobruk (Libya), 10-13 December 1990.*
- Wilson RT, Astier Araya and Azeb Melaku (1990). The One-humped camel. An Analytical and Annotated Bibliography 1980-1989, United Nation Sahelin Office: New York, USA.

News

CAMEL FARMING IN ETHIOPIA NEWER PROSPECTS EXPLORED BY DR. YAGIL

Dr. Reuven Yagil visited Ethiopia in May, 2007 to discuss the feasibility of setting up a camel project in Ethiopia. He flew to the town of Gode in the Ogaden desert, in the Somali Region. Current



Milking camel demonstrated by
Dr. Yagil in Ethiopia

scenario revealed that 200 milking camels, camel herders, pastoralists, try to combine camel herding with allowing the children to attend school while they have their milking camels close by and the main herd still remains mobile looking for food. They sell their milk to the school but the income is minimal. At Dire Dawa he met with the President of the Alemaya University. It was agreed that university graduates will take part in the developing Gode and form part of an extension service. At capital city, Jijiga and met with the Regional President who became impressed with proposed camel project. It was opined that existing estimated 2 million camels do not give anything positive to the region. At Addis Ababa he met with government officials, Director of agricultural research institute, officials of USAID and World Bank as

well as representatives of various ministries and decided to set up an economic venture, not relying on donations or project financing but make the venture self supporting. An in-depth proposal is being prepared which will not only attract the pastoralists but will market the products also. The farming will at first be adapted to the ability of the pastoralists to market milk locally and then modern methodology will be introduced. This project will help finding fighting famine, poverty and illiteracy.

[Source: Prof. (Emeritus) Reuven Yagil, Slome Chair for Desert Animal Production, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Gift of the Desert Industries (G-DIn)]

INTERNATIONAL CAMEL CONFERENCE HELD AT BIKANER

International Camel Conference was held at College of Veterinary and Animal Science, Bikaner, India from 16-17 February 2007. It was attended by more than 175 delegates out of which 45 came from 15 countries other than India. Conference was inaugurated by Sh. Vinod Kapoor, then Vice Chancellor and Patron of the conference. Guest of honour were Dr. U. Wernery, Scientific Director of Central Veterinary



Research Laboratory, Dubai, Dr. R. Yagil, Eminent Camel Scientist from Israel and Dr. A. Bakhsh, Professor and Ex-Dean, Veterinary Faculty, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia. Twelve technical sessions were carried out in two halls as simultaneous sessions. Technical sessions were based on disease diagnosis and treatment, breeding and genetics, immunology, reproduction, ethno/modern husbandry/management practices, nutrition, surgery and anatomy, physiology and pharmacology, milk, draft power and production and parasitology. More than 150 papers were read out in these sessions. Additionally, poster sessions were also

conducted. Dr. T. K. Gahlot, Organising Secretary was felicitated with a plaque by the Chief Patron of ICC, Sh. Vinod Kapoor for successfully organising this mega event.